| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17 | Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360) Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076) Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913) HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4900 Facsimile: (415) 848-4909 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC., AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., and MATROX TECH, INC.  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, VS.  Case No. C03-4669 MIJ (EMC)  DECLARATION OF DENISE M. DE MORY IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO MOTION TO STAY |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| 18<br>19  | ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS LTD., MATROX<br>GRAPHICS INC., MATROX<br>INTERNATIONAL CORP., and MATROX<br>TECH, INC.,  | Judge: Hon. Martin J. Jenkins  Date: April 19, 2006 |  |
| 20  | Defendants.   | Time: 9:00 a.m.<br>Ctrm: 11, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor |  |
| 21  |   |   |  |
| 22  | I, Denise M. De Mory, declare as follows:   |   |  |
| 23  | 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice in the State of California and a Partner of the   |   |  |
| 24  | law firm of Howrey LLP, attorneys for plaintiff Synopsys, and defendants Aeroflex Incorporated,   |   |  |
| 25  | Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc., AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd., Matrox  |   |  |
| 26  | Graphics Inc., Matrox International Corp., and Matrox Tech, Inc. The matters set forth in this  |   |  |
| 27  | declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, except where otherwise indicated, and if called as  |   |  |
| 28 HOWREY LLP   | a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.  Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)  DE MORY DECL IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO MOTION  TO STAY   |   |  |

| 1  | 2.               | Approximately 180 hours of depositions have occurred in this case. Ricoh has taken      |
|----|------------------|---|
| 2  | approximately    | 150 hours of depositions. Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have taken               |
| 3  | approximately    | 30 hours of depositions.  |
| 4  | 3.               | Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have produced more than 8 million pages of         |
| 5  | documents in t   | his case.   |
| 6  | 4.               | Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have substantively responded to all of Ricoh's     |
| 7  | discovery requ   | ests – including over two hundred and fifty interrogatories. Ricoh has substantively    |
| 8  | responded to a   | pproximately twenty interrogatories.  |
| 9  | 5.               | Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have substantively responded to more than one      |
| 10 | hundred reque    | sts for admission. Ricoh has substantively responded to only five requests for          |
| 11 | admission, and   | has refused to substantively respond to over five hundred requests for admission.       |
| 12 | 6.               | On March 20, 2006, Ricoh sent a meet and confer request acknowledging that it wanted    |
| 13 | to take the foll | owing depositions: (1) deposition of Matrox relating to sales; (2) deposition of Matrox |
| 14 | related to mark  | xeting; (3) AMI deposition regarding financials; (4) AMI continued 30(b)(6) on          |
| 15 | products; (5) a  | n Aeroflex deposition on four 30(b)(6) topics; (6) continued deposition of Coco of      |
| 16 | Aeroflex; (7) c  | continued deposition of Milliken of Aeroflex; (8) Synopsys 30(b)(6) on four topics; (9) |
| 17 | individual depo  | osition of Robert Walker of Synopsys; (10) individual deposition of Bharat Kalyanpur of |
| 18 | Synopsys; and    | (11) additional continuations of the Synopsys 30(b)(6) technical depositions.           |
| 19 | 7.               | Synopsys and the Customer Defendants have requested 8 depositions, 5 of which Ricoh     |
| 20 | has refused to   | provide. Synopsys and the Customer Defendants' served Ricoh their portions of Joint     |
| 21 | Letters to Judg  | ge Chen on March 20, 2006 in an attempt to resolve these issues.                        |
| 22 | 8.               | Synopsys and the Customer Defendants also will serve subpoenas on various third         |
| 23 | parties relating | to invalidity during the week of March 20, 2006.  |
| 24 | 9.               | In addition to the discovery described above, Synopsys and the Customer Defendants      |
|    | ii               |   |

28

25

26

27

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was executed in San Francisco, CA on March 21, 2006.

> /s/Denise M. De Mory Denise M. De Mory

will take damages and expert depositions.